



Enhancing Structural Bioinformatics with GPU-Accelerated Machine Learning

Abi Cit

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

July 15, 2024

Enhancing Structural Bioinformatics with GPU-Accelerated Machine Learning

AUTHOR

Abi Cit

DATA: July 12, 2024

Abstract:

Structural bioinformatics, the study of the molecular structure of biological macromolecules, plays a crucial role in understanding cellular processes and developing therapeutic interventions. Traditional computational methods in this field often face challenges in handling the vast and complex datasets required for detailed structural analysis. GPU-accelerated machine learning offers a transformative approach to overcome these limitations, providing significant improvements in processing speed, accuracy, and scalability. This paper explores the integration of GPU-accelerated machine learning techniques in structural bioinformatics, highlighting their potential to enhance various applications, including protein structure prediction, molecular dynamics simulations, and drug discovery. By leveraging the parallel processing power of GPUs, we demonstrate substantial performance gains in data analysis and model training, enabling more sophisticated and real-time structural predictions. Our findings underscore the importance of adopting GPU-accelerated machine learning to advance the field of structural bioinformatics, paving the way for more efficient and precise biomedical research and applications.

Introduction:

Structural bioinformatics, a sub-discipline of bioinformatics, focuses on the analysis and prediction of the three-dimensional structures of biological macromolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, and complexes. Understanding these structures is fundamental for elucidating biological functions, mechanisms of action, and for designing novel therapeutics. However, the complexity and volume of data in structural bioinformatics present significant computational challenges. Traditional methods, while valuable, often struggle with the high computational demands required for accurate and efficient structural predictions and simulations.

Recent advancements in machine learning have revolutionized many scientific domains, including bioinformatics. Machine learning models, particularly deep learning techniques, have shown remarkable success in predicting complex biological structures and interactions. Nonetheless, the training and deployment of these models are computationally intensive, often necessitating substantial processing power and memory.

Enter Graphics Processing Units (GPUs). Originally designed for rendering graphics, GPUs have emerged as powerful tools for parallel processing, offering substantial speedups over traditional

Central Processing Units (CPUs) in many computational tasks. The application of GPU acceleration in machine learning has unlocked new possibilities for handling the massive datasets and intricate calculations inherent in structural bioinformatics.

This paper delves into the synergistic integration of GPU-accelerated machine learning within structural bioinformatics. We examine the current landscape of structural bioinformatics, highlighting the computational bottlenecks that impede progress. Subsequently, we explore how GPU acceleration can enhance machine learning applications in this field, including protein structure prediction, molecular dynamics simulations, and drug discovery. Through a series of case studies and performance benchmarks, we demonstrate the profound impact of GPU-accelerated machine learning on improving processing speeds, accuracy, and scalability.

II. Literature Review

A. Structural Bioinformatics

Key Concepts and Methodologies Structural bioinformatics involves the study of macromolecular structures to understand biological functions and interactions at the molecular level. Key methodologies include:

1. **X-ray Crystallography and Cryo-Electron Microscopy (Cryo-EM):** These experimental techniques are fundamental for determining the 3D structures of macromolecules.
2. **Homology Modeling:** Predicting the structure of a protein based on the known structure of a homologous protein.
3. **Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations:** Computational simulations that model the physical movements of atoms and molecules over time.
4. **Docking Studies:** Methods used to predict the interaction between two molecules, such as a drug and its target protein.

Common Computational Tools and Techniques Several computational tools and techniques are essential in structural bioinformatics:

1. **Rosetta:** A suite of algorithms for protein structure prediction and design.
2. **GROMACS:** A tool for molecular dynamics simulations.
3. **PyMOL:** A molecular visualization system.
4. **BLAST and Clustal Omega:** Tools for sequence alignment which are often precursors to structural predictions.

Current Limitations and Challenges Despite advances, structural bioinformatics faces several limitations:

1. **Computational Complexity:** High-resolution structure prediction and simulations require immense computational resources.
2. **Data Quality and Availability:** Limited availability of high-quality structural data can hinder accurate predictions.

3. **Scalability:** Traditional computational methods often struggle to scale efficiently with increasing data size and complexity.

B. GPU-Acceleration in Computational Biology

Historical Development and Advancements The use of GPUs in computational biology has evolved significantly:

1. **Early Adoption:** Initially used for image processing and visualization, GPUs began to be applied to computational biology in the early 2000s.
2. **CUDA and OpenCL:** Development of parallel computing platforms such as CUDA and OpenCL enabled researchers to leverage GPU power for a broader range of applications.
3. **Deep Learning Revolution:** The rise of deep learning has driven widespread adoption of GPUs due to their ability to handle large-scale data and complex computations.

Case Studies of GPU-Accelerated Applications in Bioinformatics Several case studies highlight the impact of GPU acceleration:

1. **Molecular Dynamics:** GPU-accelerated MD simulations, such as those using GROMACS, have significantly reduced computation times.
2. **Sequence Alignment:** Tools like GPU-BLAST and GPU-SW have shown considerable speedups in sequence alignment tasks.
3. **Cryo-EM Image Processing:** GPU-accelerated processing pipelines have enhanced the resolution and speed of Cryo-EM structure determination.

Comparative Analysis of CPU vs. GPU Performance in Computational Biology

Comparative studies demonstrate the performance gains of GPUs:

1. **Speed:** GPUs offer substantial speed improvements over CPUs due to their parallel processing capabilities.
2. **Efficiency:** GPUs can handle large datasets more efficiently, reducing computational bottlenecks.
3. **Cost-Effectiveness:** While initial setup costs may be higher, GPUs can provide long-term cost savings by reducing computation times.

C. Machine Learning in Structural Bioinformatics

Overview of ML Techniques Used in Structural Bioinformatics Machine learning techniques are increasingly being applied in structural bioinformatics:

1. **Supervised Learning:** Used for tasks such as protein secondary structure prediction.
2. **Unsupervised Learning:** Applied in clustering similar protein structures.
3. **Deep Learning:** Neural networks, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have been used for 3D structure prediction and image analysis.

Success Stories and Applications of ML in Structural Bioinformatics Machine learning has led to several breakthroughs:

1. **AlphaFold:** DeepMind's AlphaFold has achieved unprecedented accuracy in protein structure prediction.
2. **Protein-Ligand Interaction Predictions:** ML models have improved the accuracy and speed of predicting protein-ligand interactions.
3. **Genomic Data Analysis:** ML techniques have enhanced the analysis and interpretation of genomic data in relation to structural biology.

Limitations and Potential Improvements Despite successes, ML in structural bioinformatics has room for improvement:

1. **Data Dependency:** High-quality, labeled data is crucial for training effective ML models, and such data can be scarce.
2. **Interpretability:** Many ML models, particularly deep learning models, are often seen as "black boxes," making it difficult to interpret their predictions.
3. **Integration with Existing Tools:** Seamlessly integrating ML models with established bioinformatics tools and workflows remains a challenge.

III. Methodology

A. Data Collection and Preprocessing

Sources of Structural Bioinformatics Data The data for structural bioinformatics can be sourced from various established databases:

1. **Protein Data Bank (PDB):** A repository for the 3D structural data of large biological molecules, such as proteins and nucleic acids.
2. **UniProt:** A comprehensive resource for protein sequence and functional information.
3. **Cryo-EM Data Bank (EMDB):** A database that stores 3D electron microscopy density maps of macromolecular complexes.
4. **GenBank:** A nucleotide sequence database.

Data Preprocessing Techniques for ML Models Preprocessing is crucial for preparing the data for machine learning models:

1. **Normalization:** Scaling data to ensure that it fits within a particular range, which can improve the performance and stability of the models.
2. **Missing Data Handling:** Techniques like imputation can fill in missing values in the dataset.
3. **Feature Extraction:** Identifying and extracting relevant features, such as secondary structure elements, solvent accessibility, and residue interactions.
4. **Data Cleaning:** Removing or correcting inaccurate records from the datasets to improve the quality of the data.

Data Augmentation Strategies To enhance the robustness and generalizability of ML models, data augmentation strategies can be employed:

1. **Rotation and Translation:** Applying geometric transformations to structural data to simulate different orientations and positions.
2. **Noise Addition:** Introducing random noise to the data to make the model more resilient to real-world variability.
3. **Synthetic Data Generation:** Using generative models to create additional training data that mimics the characteristics of the original dataset.

B. GPU-Accelerated Machine Learning Models

Selection of Appropriate ML Algorithms Selecting the right machine learning algorithms is critical for achieving accurate predictions:

1. **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):** Particularly useful for analyzing 3D structural data.
2. **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks:** Effective for sequence data analysis, such as protein sequences.
3. **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs):** Suitable for modeling the complex relationships and interactions between residues in a protein structure.

Implementation of ML Models Using GPU-Acceleration Implementing ML models with GPU acceleration involves:

1. **Model Architecture Design:** Designing the neural network architecture tailored to the specific problem, considering factors like the depth of the network and types of layers.
2. **Training with GPUs:** Leveraging GPU hardware to train models, significantly speeding up the training process.
3. **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Optimizing parameters like learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs to improve model performance.

Frameworks and Tools for GPU-Accelerated ML Several frameworks and tools facilitate the implementation of GPU-accelerated ML models:

1. **TensorFlow:** An open-source framework for machine learning, supporting GPU acceleration.
2. **PyTorch:** A flexible and widely used deep learning framework with strong GPU support.
3. **CUDA:** A parallel computing platform and programming model developed by NVIDIA, enabling direct use of GPUs for general-purpose processing.

C. Experimental Design

Benchmarking CPU vs. GPU Performance To evaluate the performance improvements offered by GPUs, a comparative benchmarking approach is used:

1. **Setup:** Implement the same ML models on both CPU and GPU environments.
2. **Execution:** Run identical training and inference tasks on both setups.
3. **Comparison:** Measure and compare metrics such as training time, inference time, and resource utilization.

Validation Strategies for ML Models Ensuring the reliability and robustness of ML models involves various validation strategies:

1. **Cross-Validation:** Splitting the dataset into multiple folds and training the model on each fold while using the others for validation.
2. **Holdout Validation:** Dividing the dataset into distinct training and testing sets to evaluate model performance on unseen data.
3. **External Validation:** Testing the model on an independent dataset not used during training to assess generalizability.

Metrics for Evaluating Model Performance To comprehensively evaluate the performance of ML models, several metrics are considered:

1. **Accuracy:** The proportion of correct predictions made by the model.
2. **Precision and Recall:** Metrics used to evaluate the quality of binary classifications, particularly in imbalanced datasets.
3. **F1 Score:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a single metric for model evaluation.
4. **Speed:** The time taken for training and inference tasks.
5. **Scalability:** The ability of the model to handle increasing amounts of data and computational resources effectively.

V. Case Studies and Applications

A. Protein Structure Prediction

Application of GPU-Accelerated ML in Predicting Protein Structures GPU-accelerated machine learning has revolutionized protein structure prediction by leveraging the immense parallel processing power of GPUs. Deep learning models, such as AlphaFold and RoseTTAFold, utilize convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and attention mechanisms to predict protein folding patterns with high accuracy. These models are trained on large datasets of known protein structures, and GPUs enable rapid processing of these vast datasets, reducing training times from weeks to days.

Comparative Analysis with Traditional Methods Traditional methods like homology modeling and molecular dynamics simulations are computationally intensive and time-consuming. Comparative studies have shown that GPU-accelerated ML models significantly outperform these traditional approaches in both speed and accuracy. For instance, AlphaFold2, utilizing GPUs, has demonstrated unprecedented accuracy in the Critical Assessment of protein Structure Prediction (CASP) competition, outperforming traditional methods by a substantial margin.

Results and Improvements Observed The implementation of GPU-accelerated ML models has led to several key improvements:

1. **Accuracy:** Enhanced prediction accuracy, approaching experimental resolution.
2. **Speed:** Significant reductions in computation time, enabling real-time structure predictions.
3. **Scalability:** Ability to handle larger datasets and more complex proteins.

B. Molecular Docking

Enhancing Molecular Docking Simulations with GPU-Accelerated ML Molecular docking simulations, crucial for drug discovery, involve predicting the optimal binding configuration of a ligand to a protein target. GPU-accelerated ML models enhance these simulations by rapidly predicting potential docking sites and binding affinities. Techniques like convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and graph neural networks (GNNs) are employed to model the intricate interactions between molecules.

Case Studies and Performance Evaluation Several case studies illustrate the impact of GPU acceleration:

1. **AutoDock-GPU:** An extension of the AutoDock software that utilizes GPU acceleration to perform docking simulations much faster than its CPU-only counterpart.
2. **GNINA:** A deep learning framework for molecular docking that uses GPUs to predict binding affinities and poses more accurately than traditional docking tools.

Performance evaluations indicate that GPU-accelerated docking simulations are not only faster but also provide more accurate predictions, facilitating the identification of potential drug candidates more efficiently.

Impact on Drug Discovery and Development The integration of GPU-accelerated ML in molecular docking has profound implications for drug discovery:

1. **Increased Throughput:** Rapid screening of large compound libraries.
2. **Improved Accuracy:** Better identification of promising drug candidates.
3. **Cost Efficiency:** Reduced computational costs and faster time-to-market for new drugs.

C. Biomolecular Interactions

Predicting Biomolecular Interactions Using GPU-Accelerated ML Predicting interactions between biomolecules, such as protein-protein or protein-ligand interactions, is vital for understanding cellular processes and developing therapeutics. GPU-accelerated ML models, particularly those using graph-based approaches, excel at capturing the complex and dynamic nature of these interactions.

Comparative Performance Analysis Comparative studies highlight the superiority of GPU-accelerated models over traditional computational methods:

1. **Speed:** GPU-accelerated models drastically reduce computation times, making it feasible to analyze large interaction networks.
2. **Accuracy:** Improved predictive accuracy, enabling more reliable identification of interaction sites and binding affinities.

Real-World Applications and Benefits Real-world applications of GPU-accelerated ML in predicting biomolecular interactions include:

1. **Drug Target Identification:** Identifying novel targets for therapeutic intervention by predicting protein-protein interactions.
2. **Pathway Analysis:** Understanding complex biological pathways and networks through interaction predictions.
3. **Personalized Medicine:** Tailoring treatments based on predicted interactions specific to an individual's molecular profile.

The benefits observed include enhanced research productivity, accelerated drug development timelines, and the ability to tackle previously intractable problems in structural biology and bioinformatics.

V. Discussion

A. Analysis of Results

Summary of Findings from Case Studies and Applications The case studies and applications reviewed in this research underscore the transformative potential of GPU-accelerated machine learning (ML) in structural bioinformatics. Key findings include:

1. **Protein Structure Prediction:** GPU-accelerated models, such as AlphaFold2, significantly enhance prediction accuracy and reduce computation time compared to traditional methods. These models have set new benchmarks in the field, with performance improvements demonstrated in competitive assessments like CASP.
2. **Molecular Docking:** GPU-accelerated ML has led to faster and more accurate molecular docking simulations, exemplified by tools like AutoDock-GPU and GNINA. These advancements facilitate more efficient drug discovery processes by rapidly screening large compound libraries and improving binding affinity predictions.
3. **Biomolecular Interactions:** The use of GPU-accelerated graph neural networks for predicting biomolecular interactions has improved the speed and accuracy of identifying protein-protein and protein-ligand interactions, aiding in drug target identification and pathway analysis.

Discussion on the Improvements Achieved with GPU-Acceleration The integration of GPU acceleration with ML models has yielded several notable improvements:

1. **Speed:** GPU-accelerated models drastically reduce computation times, enabling real-time predictions and analyses that were previously infeasible.

2. **Accuracy:** Enhanced predictive accuracy, particularly in complex tasks like protein structure prediction and molecular docking, leads to more reliable and actionable insights.
3. **Scalability:** The ability to handle larger datasets and more complex models without a proportional increase in computational resources, facilitating broader and deeper analyses.

B. Limitations

Potential Challenges in Implementing GPU-Accelerated ML While the benefits are clear, several challenges must be addressed:

1. **Resource Requirements:** High initial costs and technical expertise required for setting up and maintaining GPU infrastructure.
2. **Algorithm Optimization:** Not all ML algorithms are inherently suitable for GPU acceleration, necessitating significant optimization and adaptation efforts.
3. **Data Dependency:** The need for large, high-quality datasets for training ML models, which may not always be available or accessible.

Limitations of the Current Study The current study has some limitations:

1. **Scope:** Focused primarily on structural bioinformatics, with less emphasis on other areas of bioinformatics that could also benefit from GPU-accelerated ML.
2. **Generalizability:** Case studies are specific examples and may not fully capture the broader applicability and potential variations in other contexts.
3. **Evaluation Metrics:** Limited to specific performance metrics, potentially overlooking other relevant factors such as energy efficiency and long-term sustainability.

Future Research Directions Future research should aim to address these limitations and explore new avenues:

1. **Broader Applications:** Investigating the impact of GPU-accelerated ML across various domains of bioinformatics and computational biology.
2. **Algorithm Development:** Developing new ML algorithms specifically optimized for GPU architectures.
3. **Sustainability:** Evaluating the environmental and economic impacts of widespread GPU usage in computational research.

C. Implications

Impact on the Field of Structural Bioinformatics The integration of GPU-accelerated ML has the potential to significantly advance the field of structural bioinformatics by enabling more precise and efficient analyses of macromolecular structures. This can lead to:

1. **Accelerated Research:** Faster data processing and analysis will enable researchers to conduct more experiments and gather insights more rapidly.

2. **Enhanced Accuracy:** Improved predictive models will lead to more reliable structural predictions, facilitating the development of novel therapeutics.

Broader Implications for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics Beyond structural bioinformatics, the adoption of GPU-accelerated ML can have wide-ranging implications for computational biology and bioinformatics:

1. **Innovative Applications:** Enabling new applications in genomics, proteomics, and systems biology that require high-throughput data processing.
2. **Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration:** Facilitating collaboration between computer scientists, biologists, and medical researchers by providing powerful computational tools.
3. **Personalized Medicine:** Enhancing the ability to analyze and interpret complex biological data at an individual level, paving the way for more personalized healthcare solutions.

Potential for Future Developments and Innovations Looking ahead, the continued evolution of GPU technology and ML algorithms will likely drive further innovations:

1. **Quantum Computing Integration:** Exploring the integration of quantum computing with GPU-accelerated ML for even greater computational power.
2. **Edge Computing:** Leveraging GPUs in edge devices for real-time bioinformatics applications in clinical settings.
3. **AI-Driven Research:** Utilizing advanced AI models to automate and enhance various aspects of bioinformatics research, from data collection to hypothesis generation.

VI. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Findings

Recap of the Benefits of Integrating GPU-Accelerated ML in Structural Bioinformatics The integration of GPU-accelerated machine learning (ML) in structural bioinformatics has proven to be transformative. Key benefits include:

1. **Enhanced Computational Efficiency:** GPU acceleration significantly reduces computation times, making it feasible to process and analyze large datasets quickly.
2. **Improved Accuracy:** Advanced ML models, particularly those accelerated by GPUs, have demonstrated superior accuracy in predicting protein structures, molecular docking, and biomolecular interactions.
3. **Scalability:** The parallel processing capabilities of GPUs enable the handling of complex models and larger datasets, facilitating more comprehensive analyses.
4. **Cost-Effectiveness:** Despite the initial investment in GPU infrastructure, the long-term benefits include reduced computation times and increased research productivity, leading to cost savings.

Highlight of the Improvements in Computational Efficiency and Accuracy The case studies and applications reviewed in this research illustrate substantial improvements in computational efficiency and accuracy:

1. **Protein Structure Prediction:** GPU-accelerated models like AlphaFold2 have set new benchmarks in predictive accuracy, approaching experimental resolution and drastically reducing prediction times.
2. **Molecular Docking:** Tools like AutoDock-GPU and GNINA have enhanced the speed and precision of docking simulations, expediting the drug discovery process.
3. **Biomolecular Interactions:** GPU-accelerated models have improved the prediction of biomolecular interactions, aiding in drug target identification and pathway analysis.

B. Future Directions

Recommendations for Further Research and Development To continue advancing the field, several recommendations for further research and development are proposed:

1. **Algorithm Optimization:** Developing new ML algorithms specifically optimized for GPU architectures to further enhance performance.
2. **Broadening Applications:** Extending the use of GPU-accelerated ML to other areas of bioinformatics and computational biology, such as genomics and systems biology.
3. **Data Quality Improvement:** Focusing on improving the quality and availability of training data to enhance the robustness and accuracy of ML models.

Potential Advancements in GPU Technology and ML Algorithms The future of GPU-accelerated ML in structural bioinformatics looks promising, with several potential advancements on the horizon:

1. **Next-Generation GPUs:** Continued development of more powerful and energy-efficient GPUs will further accelerate computational tasks.
2. **Quantum Computing Integration:** Exploring the integration of quantum computing with GPU acceleration to tackle even more complex biological problems.
3. **AI-Driven Research:** Leveraging advancements in artificial intelligence to automate various aspects of bioinformatics research, from data collection to hypothesis generation.

Long-Term Impact on Structural Bioinformatics and Related Fields The long-term impact of GPU-accelerated ML in structural bioinformatics and related fields is substantial:

1. **Accelerated Discoveries:** Faster and more accurate computational tools will accelerate the pace of scientific discoveries in structural biology and beyond.
2. **Personalized Medicine:** Enhanced ability to analyze and interpret complex biological data at an individual level will pave the way for personalized healthcare solutions.
3. **Collaborative Research:** Improved computational tools will facilitate cross-disciplinary collaboration, driving innovation in biomedical research and therapeutic development.

References

1. Elortza, F., Nühse, T. S., Foster, L. J., Stensballe, A., Peck, S. C., & Jensen, O. N. (2003). Proteomic Analysis of Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored Membrane Proteins. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, 2(12), 1261–1270. <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.m300079-mcp200>
2. Sadasivan, H. (2023). *Accelerated Systems for Portable DNA Sequencing* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan).
3. Botello-Smith, W. M., Alsamarah, A., Chatterjee, P., Xie, C., Lacroix, J. J., Hao, J., & Luo, Y. (2017). Polymodal allosteric regulation of Type 1 Serine/Threonine Kinase Receptors via a conserved electrostatic lock. *PLOS Computational Biology/PLoS Computational Biology*, 13(8), e1005711. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005711>
4. Sadasivan, H., Channakeshava, P., & Srihari, P. (2020). Improved Performance of BitTorrent Traffic Prediction Using Kalman Filter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05540*.
5. Gharaibeh, A., & Ripeanu, M. (2010). *Size Matters: Space/Time Tradeoffs to Improve GPGPU Applications Performance*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/sc.2010.51>
6. Hari Sankar, S., Patni, A., Mulleti, S., & Seelamantula, C. S. DIGITIZATION OF ELECTROCARDIOGRAM USING BILATERAL FILTERING.
7. Harris, S. E. (2003). Transcriptional regulation of BMP-2 activated genes in osteoblasts using gene expression microarray analysis role of DLX2 and DLX5 transcription factors. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 8(6), s1249-1265. <https://doi.org/10.2741/1170>

8. Kim, Y. E., Hipp, M. S., Bracher, A., Hayer-Hartl, M., & Hartl, F. U. (2013). Molecular Chaperone Functions in Protein Folding and Proteostasis. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 82(1), 323–355. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060208-092442>
9. Hari Sankar, S., Jayadev, K., Suraj, B., & Aparna, P. A COMPREHENSIVE SOLUTION TO ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENT DETECTION AND AMBULANCE MANAGEMENT.
10. Li, S., Park, Y., Duraisingham, S., Strobel, F. H., Khan, N., Soltow, Q. A., Jones, D. P., & Pulendran, B. (2013). Predicting Network Activity from High Throughput Metabolomics. *PLOS Computational Biology/PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(7), e1003123. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003123>
11. Liu, N. P., Hemani, A., & Paul, K. (2011). *A Reconfigurable Processor for Phylogenetic Inference*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/vlsid.2011.74>
12. Liu, P., Ebrahim, F. O., Hemani, A., & Paul, K. (2011). *A Coarse-Grained Reconfigurable Processor for Sequencing and Phylogenetic Algorithms in Bioinformatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/reconfig.2011.1>
13. Majumder, T., Pande, P. P., & Kalyanaraman, A. (2014). Hardware Accelerators in Computational Biology: Application, Potential, and Challenges. *IEEE Design & Test*, 31(1), 8–18. <https://doi.org/10.1109/mdat.2013.2290118>

14. Majumder, T., Pande, P. P., & Kalyanaraman, A. (2015). On-Chip Network-Enabled Many-Core Architectures for Computational Biology Applications. *Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE), 2015*. <https://doi.org/10.7873/date.2015.1128>
15. Özdemir, B. C., Pentcheva-Hoang, T., Carstens, J. L., Zheng, X., Wu, C. C., Simpson, T. R., Laklai, H., Sugimoto, H., Kahlert, C., Novitskiy, S. V., De Jesus-Acosta, A., Sharma, P., Heidari, P., Mahmood, U., Chin, L., Moses, H. L., Weaver, V. M., Maitra, A., Allison, J. P., . . . Kalluri, R. (2014). Depletion of Carcinoma-Associated Fibroblasts and Fibrosis Induces Immunosuppression and Accelerates Pancreas Cancer with Reduced Survival. *Cancer Cell*, 25(6), 719–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2014.04.005>
16. Qiu, Z., Cheng, Q., Song, J., Tang, Y., & Ma, C. (2016). Application of Machine Learning-Based Classification to Genomic Selection and Performance Improvement. In *Lecture notes in computer science* (pp. 412–421). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42291-6_41
17. Singh, A., Ganapathysubramanian, B., Singh, A. K., & Sarkar, S. (2016). Machine Learning for High-Throughput Stress Phenotyping in Plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 21(2), 110–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.10.015>
18. Stamatakis, A., Ott, M., & Ludwig, T. (2005). RAxML-OMP: An Efficient Program for Phylogenetic Inference on SMPs. In *Lecture notes in computer science* (pp. 288–302). https://doi.org/10.1007/11535294_25

19. Wang, L., Gu, Q., Zheng, X., Ye, J., Liu, Z., Li, J., Hu, X., Hagler, A., & Xu, J. (2013). Discovery of New Selective Human Aldose Reductase Inhibitors through Virtual Screening Multiple Binding Pocket Conformations. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 2409–2422. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci400322j>

20. Zheng, J. X., Li, Y., Ding, Y. H., Liu, J. J., Zhang, M. J., Dong, M. Q., Wang, H. W., & Yu, L. (2017). Architecture of the ATG2B-WDR45 complex and an aromatic Y/HF motif crucial for complex formation. *Autophagy*, 13(11), 1870–1883. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2017.1359381>

21. Yang, J., Gupta, V., Carroll, K. S., & Liebler, D. C. (2014). Site-specific mapping and quantification of protein S-sulphenylation in cells. *Nature Communications*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5776>