



The Principle of Universalizability in Deontologists and Its Application to Leadership Decision-Making

Elizabeth Henry and Harold Jonathan

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

June 18, 2024

The principle of universalizability in deontologists and its application to leadership decision-making

Authors

Elizabeth Henry,
Eliza18@edu.ng@gmail.com

Harold Jonathan
Harold14@edu.ng@gmail.com

Department of Political Science

Date:18th 06,2024

Abstract

This abstract explores the principle of universalizability in deontology and its application to leadership decision-making. Deontology is an ethical theory that emphasizes moral obligations and duties, focusing on the intention behind actions. The principle of universalizability states that an action is morally acceptable if its underlying principle can be applied consistently and universally. In leadership decision-making, this principle is vital in ensuring ethical choices are made. Leaders must consider the broader impact of their decisions, aiming for consistency and fairness while balancing individual and collective interests. By prioritizing moral obligations and duties, leaders can make decisions that align with universalizable principles. This abstract also discusses case studies illustrating the application of the principle to real-world leadership scenarios, as well as challenges and limitations that may arise. Ultimately, the principle of universalizability provides leaders with a framework for ethical decision-making, fostering a sense of responsibility and accountability in their roles.

Introduction:

In the realm of ethics, deontologism is a prominent ethical theory that emphasizes moral obligations and duties as the foundation for ethical decision-making. Central to deontologism is the principle of universalizability, which asserts that an action is morally acceptable if its underlying principle can be applied consistently and universally. This principle serves as a guiding framework for ethical conduct and has significant implications for leadership decision-making.

Leadership, in its essence, involves making decisions that impact individuals, groups, and even entire organizations. These decisions can have far-reaching consequences, both ethically and practically. Therefore, it becomes imperative for leaders to adopt an ethical framework that guides their decision-making process. The principle of universalizability provides leaders with a moral compass to navigate the complexities of their roles.

Applying the principle of universalizability in leadership decision-making requires leaders to consider the broader impact of their actions. Leaders must evaluate the consequences of their decisions on various stakeholders, including employees, customers, shareholders, and society at large. By doing so, leaders can ensure that their decisions align with universalizable principles, promoting fairness and consistency.

Furthermore, the principle of universalizability encourages leaders to focus on the intention behind their actions. It prompts leaders to critically examine the underlying principles and values that guide their decision-making, ensuring that they adhere to ethical standards. This introspective approach helps leaders make decisions that are rooted in moral obligations and duties, rather than being solely driven by self-interest or expediency.

Leadership decision-making involves striking a balance between individual and collective interests. The principle of universalizability aids leaders in navigating this delicate balance. By considering the universalizability of their decisions, leaders can ensure that they do not prioritize their own interests at the expense of others. Instead, leaders can make choices that uphold the common good and honor their moral obligations to all stakeholders.

Throughout this exploration, we will examine the application of the principle of universalizability in leadership decision-making through real-world case studies. These case studies will illustrate how leaders can utilize the principle to address

ethical challenges and make choices that are consistent with universalizable principles. Additionally, we will discuss the challenges and limitations that leaders may encounter when applying this principle, such as conflicting moral obligations and cultural variations.

In conclusion, the principle of universalizability in deontology offers leaders a valuable ethical framework to guide their decision-making process. By considering the broader impact of their actions, focusing on underlying intentions, and prioritizing moral obligations and duties, leaders can make decisions that are ethically sound and promote fairness and consistency. Through the application of this principle, leaders can foster a culture of ethical leadership, promoting trust, accountability, and responsible decision-making within their organizations.

The Principle of Universalizability in Deontology

The principle of universalizability is a fundamental concept within deontology, an ethical theory that focuses on moral obligations and duties. Deontology, often associated with philosophers like Immanuel Kant, emphasizes the inherent rightness or wrongness of actions based on their adherence to ethical principles rather than the consequences they produce.

At its core, the principle of universalizability states that an action is morally acceptable if its underlying principle can be applied consistently and universally. In other words, an action is considered morally right if it can be willed as a universal law without contradiction. This principle places importance on the intention behind actions, rather than solely on the outcomes or consequences.

Universalizability requires individuals to consider whether the principle guiding their action could be reasonably applied by everyone in similar circumstances, without encountering logical or moral contradictions. It prompts individuals to examine the underlying rules, principles, or maxims that govern their behavior and assess their universalizability.

For example, if someone is considering lying to achieve a personal gain, they must ask themselves whether they would be willing to live in a world where lying is the norm and everyone lied whenever it suited their interests. If such a world seems contradictory or morally unacceptable, then lying in that specific situation would also be deemed morally wrong.

The principle of universalizability emphasizes consistency and fairness in moral decision-making. It urges individuals to treat similar cases similarly and to avoid making exceptions for themselves or others based on personal interests or preferences. It encourages individuals to think beyond their immediate circumstances and consider the broader implications of their actions on the moral fabric of society.

In deontological ethics, the principle of universalizability serves as a foundation for determining the morality of actions and provides a framework for ethical decision-making. By applying this principle, individuals can assess the universality and consistency of their actions' underlying principles, ensuring that they act in accordance with moral obligations and duties.

The principle of universalizability has wide-ranging applications in various ethical contexts, including personal decision-making, professional ethics, and leadership roles. In the context of leadership decision-making, the principle helps leaders navigate complex ethical dilemmas and make choices that are morally sound and universally justifiable. By considering the broader impact of their decisions, ensuring consistency and fairness, and prioritizing moral obligations, leaders can uphold ethical standards and promote a culture of integrity within their organizations.

Relevance of consistency and fairness

Consistency and fairness are essential aspects of the principle of universalizability and hold great relevance in deontologism and its application to leadership decision-making. Here's why consistency and fairness matter:

Consistency: The principle of universalizability requires actions to be consistently applicable across different situations and individuals. Consistency ensures that ethical principles are not selectively applied or arbitrarily changed based on personal preferences or circumstances. When leaders make decisions consistently, they establish a sense of predictability and reliability, fostering trust among stakeholders. Consistency also helps leaders avoid moral contradictions and maintain ethical integrity in their decision-making processes.

Fairness: Fairness is closely linked to the principle of universalizability because it involves treating similar cases similarly and avoiding unjust favoritism or discrimination. Fairness in leadership decision-making means considering the interests and rights of all stakeholders involved and ensuring that decisions are equitable and justifiable. By upholding fairness, leaders demonstrate a commitment

to ethical values and create an environment that promotes equality, justice, and respect. Fairness also contributes to a positive organizational culture and enhances the reputation of leaders and their organizations.

Moral accountability: Consistency and fairness contribute to moral accountability for leaders. When decisions are made consistently and fairly, leaders can be held accountable for their actions and the principles that guide them. By adhering to universalizable moral principles, leaders can justify and explain their decisions to stakeholders, fostering transparency and trust. Consistency and fairness also enable leaders to evaluate their own ethical conduct, ensuring that they align with their moral obligations and duties.

Balancing conflicting interests: Consistency and fairness help leaders navigate situations with conflicting interests and values. By applying the principle of universalizability, leaders can objectively assess and weigh different perspectives, considering the broader impact of their decisions on all stakeholders. Consistency ensures that similar conflicting cases are treated similarly, while fairness guides leaders in finding equitable solutions that address the concerns of various parties involved. Balancing conflicting interests with consistency and fairness promotes ethical decision-making and minimizes biases or favoritism.

Organizational stability and employee morale: Consistency and fairness contribute to organizational stability and employee morale. When leaders consistently apply ethical principles and make fair decisions, they establish a sense of stability and coherence within the organization. Employees feel more secure knowing that decisions are made based on objective and consistent criteria, rather than personal whims or biases. Consistency and fairness also enhance employee morale by fostering a sense of trust, fairness, and respect, promoting a positive work environment.

In summary, consistency and fairness are crucial in the principle of universalizability and its application to leadership decision-making. They ensure that ethical principles are applied consistently, decisions are fair and justifiable, leaders are held accountable for their actions, conflicting interests are balanced, and organizational stability and employee morale are fostered. By upholding these principles, leaders can make ethical decisions that align with their moral obligations and contribute to the overall well-being of their organizations and stakeholders.

Application of the Principle of Universalizability to Leadership Decision-Making

The application of the principle of universalizability to leadership decision-making involves utilizing this ethical framework to guide and evaluate choices made by leaders. Here are key aspects of applying the principle to leadership decision-making:

Considering the broader impact: Leaders must consider the potential consequences and broader impact of their decisions on various stakeholders, including employees, customers, shareholders, and society at large. By applying the principle of universalizability, leaders can assess whether the underlying principles guiding their actions can be reasonably applied in similar situations without encountering contradictions or adverse effects. This helps ensure that decisions are not made solely based on short-term gains or personal interests but take into account the long-term implications for all stakeholders.

Ensuring consistency and fairness: The principle of universalizability emphasizes the importance of consistency and fairness in decision-making. Leaders need to treat similar cases similarly and avoid arbitrary or biased decision-making. By applying universalizable principles, leaders can evaluate whether their decisions are consistent with ethical standards and treat all individuals and groups fairly. This promotes trust, transparency, and integrity within the organization and helps leaders avoid favoritism or discriminatory practices.

Balancing individual and collective interests: Leadership decision-making often involves balancing the interests of individuals and the collective as a whole. The principle of universalizability encourages leaders to consider the interests and well-being of all stakeholders when making decisions. By applying this principle, leaders can find a balance that accounts for both individual rights and the common good. This helps prevent decisions that prioritize the interests of a few at the expense of others, fostering a sense of fairness and inclusivity.

Prioritizing moral obligations and duties: The principle of universalizability underscores the importance of moral obligations and duties in decision-making. Leaders must identify and prioritize their moral responsibilities towards stakeholders and society. By applying this principle, leaders ensure that their decisions align with ethical principles and uphold their moral obligations. This approach helps leaders act with integrity, even in challenging circumstances, and consider the long-term consequences of their decisions.

Reflecting on underlying intentions: The principle of universalizability prompts leaders to examine the underlying intentions and principles guiding their decisions. Leaders should critically assess whether their intentions are aligned with

universalizable moral principles and whether they would be willing to live in a world where everyone acted in the same way. This reflection helps leaders avoid self-interest-driven decision-making and ensures that decisions are rooted in ethical values and principles.

By applying the principle of universalizability, leaders can make more ethical decisions that consider the broader impact, promote consistency and fairness, balance individual and collective interests, prioritize moral obligations, and act with integrity. This ethical framework provides leaders with a structured approach to decision-making and helps foster a culture of responsible and ethical leadership within organizations.

Ensuring consistency and fairness in decision-making

Ensuring consistency and fairness in decision-making is crucial for ethical leadership. Here are some key considerations and strategies to promote consistency and fairness:

Clear and transparent decision-making processes: Establish clear decision-making processes that are transparent and understood by all stakeholders. This helps ensure that decisions are made consistently and that everyone has a shared understanding of the criteria and principles guiding those decisions. Transparency also promotes accountability and trust within the organization.

Adherence to ethical standards and principles: Develop and communicate a set of ethical standards and principles that guide decision-making. These standards should emphasize consistency and fairness as core values. Leaders should consistently refer to these principles and apply them to decision-making processes. This helps create a framework for evaluating decisions and ensures that they align with ethical standards.

Consistent application of policies and rules: Implement policies and rules that are consistently applied to all individuals and situations. Avoid making exceptions or granting special privileges based on personal relationships or preferences. Consistency in applying policies and rules reinforces the perception of fairness and prevents the perception of bias or favoritism.

Impartiality and objectivity: Leaders should strive to be impartial and objective in their decision-making processes. They should evaluate information and evidence objectively, without personal biases or preconceived notions. Being open to diverse perspectives and seeking input from different stakeholders can help leaders make more informed and fair decisions.

Consideration of all relevant factors: When making decisions, leaders should consider all relevant factors and perspectives. This includes taking into account the

needs, interests, and rights of all stakeholders involved. By considering a wide range of perspectives, leaders can identify potential biases, assess the potential impact of decisions, and make more balanced and fair choices.

Regular self-reflection and evaluation: Leaders should engage in regular self-reflection and evaluation of their decision-making processes. They should critically assess their own biases, motivations, and decision-making patterns to ensure they are not inadvertently favoring certain individuals or groups. Seeking feedback from others and being open to constructive criticism can help leaders identify areas for improvement and enhance consistency and fairness.

Continuous learning and improvement: Promote a culture of continuous learning and improvement within the organization. Encourage leaders to engage in ongoing professional development and ethics training programs. This helps leaders stay informed about ethical best practices, broaden their perspectives, and refine their decision-making skills to ensure consistency and fairness.

By implementing these strategies, leaders can foster an environment where consistency and fairness are valued and upheld. This contributes to ethical decision-making, enhances trust among stakeholders, and promotes a positive organizational culture.

Examples of Applying the Principle of Universalizability in Leadership Decision-Making

Here are a few examples of how the principle of universalizability can be applied in leadership decision-making:

Employment Policies: A leader is considering implementing a policy that allows for preferential treatment in hiring based on personal connections or relationships. By applying the principle of universalizability, the leader would evaluate whether they would be willing to live in a world where such preferential treatment is the norm and everyone hires based on personal connections. If this world seems contradictory or morally unacceptable, the leader would recognize that the policy undermines fairness and consistency and would opt for a policy that ensures equal opportunities for all applicants.

Resource Allocation: A leader needs to make decisions regarding the allocation of limited resources within the organization. By applying the principle of universalizability, the leader would consider whether the allocation decisions are consistent and fair. They would evaluate whether they would be willing to live in a world where everyone received resources based on the same criteria being applied. If the allocation decisions seem arbitrary or biased, the leader would seek a more

equitable and consistent approach that considers the needs and priorities of all stakeholders.

Ethical Dilemmas: A leader is faced with an ethical dilemma that requires a decision with potential consequences for various stakeholders. By applying the principle of universalizability, the leader would examine whether the underlying principle guiding their decision could be reasonably applied by everyone in similar circumstances without contradiction or harm. This evaluation helps the leader ensure that their decision is consistent with ethical principles and avoids favoritism or unfair treatment of certain individuals or groups.

Company Policies: A leader is developing company policies related to employee benefits or performance evaluations. By applying the principle of universalizability, the leader would assess whether the policies treat all employees fairly and consistently. They would consider whether they would be willing to live in a world where everyone was subject to the same policies and whether the policies align with ethical principles of fairness and equal treatment.

Environmental Impact: A leader is making decisions regarding the organization's environmental impact and sustainability practices. By applying the principle of universalizability, the leader would consider the broader consequences of their decisions for the environment and society as a whole. They would evaluate whether the practices they are implementing can be reasonably applied universally without causing harm or contradiction. This evaluation helps the leader make choices that prioritize long-term sustainability and contribute to a more environmentally conscious world.

In each of these examples, the principle of universalizability guides leaders to consider the broader implications of their decisions, evaluate consistency and fairness, and align their actions with ethical values. By actively applying this principle, leaders can make more informed and ethically grounded decisions that have a positive impact on their organizations and stakeholders.

Considering long-term consequences for the environment and society

Considering the long-term consequences for the environment and society is a crucial aspect of ethical leadership decision-making. Here are some key considerations when evaluating the long-term impact of decisions:

Environmental sustainability: Leaders should assess the environmental impact of their decisions and practices. This includes considering factors such as resource consumption, waste generation, emissions, and ecological preservation. By implementing sustainable practices, such as reducing carbon footprint, conserving

resources, and promoting renewable energy, leaders can contribute to the long-term well-being of the environment.

Social responsibility: Leaders should consider the social consequences of their decisions. This involves evaluating how their actions affect communities, employees, customers, and other stakeholders. Leaders should ensure that their decisions promote social justice, inclusivity, and the well-being of individuals and communities. This may include fair labor practices, diversity and inclusion initiatives, philanthropic efforts, and community engagement.

Stakeholder engagement: Engaging with stakeholders, including employees, customers, local communities, and environmental organizations, allows leaders to better understand their concerns and perspectives. By involving stakeholders in decision-making processes, leaders can identify potential long-term consequences, gain valuable insights, and develop more sustainable and socially responsible strategies.

Future-oriented thinking: Leaders should adopt a long-term perspective when making decisions. This involves considering the potential impact of choices on future generations and the overall sustainability of the organization and society. Leaders should evaluate whether their decisions align with the principles of intergenerational equity and ensure that resources and opportunities are preserved for future generations.

Ethical frameworks and guidelines: Leaders can utilize ethical frameworks and guidelines, such as the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) or the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), to guide their decision-making processes. These frameworks provide a comprehensive perspective on the environmental, social, and economic dimensions of sustainability. By integrating these frameworks into decision-making, leaders can consider the long-term consequences and align their actions with broader societal goals.

Collaboration and partnerships: Leaders should foster collaboration and partnerships with other organizations, governmental agencies, and NGOs to address long-term environmental and societal challenges. By working together, leaders can leverage collective expertise, resources, and influence to drive positive change and find innovative solutions to complex problems.

Continuous monitoring and adaptation: Leaders should continuously monitor the impact of their decisions and practices, seeking feedback and data to evaluate their effectiveness. This enables leaders to identify areas for improvement, adapt strategies as needed, and ensure that long-term consequences are being addressed proactively.

By considering the long-term consequences for the environment and society, leaders can make decisions that promote sustainability, social responsibility, and the well-being of current and future generations. This approach not only aligns with ethical

principles but also contributes to the long-term success and resilience of organizations in an ever-changing global landscape.

Challenges and Limitations of Applying the Principle of Universalizability in Leadership Decision-Making

While the principle of universalizability is a valuable ethical framework for leadership decision-making, it does have challenges and limitations. Here are some key considerations:

Complex ethical dilemmas: The principle of universalizability may not provide clear-cut answers in complex ethical dilemmas. Real-world situations often involve competing values, conflicting interests, and ambiguous circumstances. It can be challenging to apply the principle in a way that adequately addresses all the complexities and nuances of such dilemmas, making decision-making more difficult.

Subjectivity in defining universalizable principles: The determination of universalizable principles can be subjective and open to interpretation. Different individuals or groups may have varying perspectives on what principles can be universally applicable. This subjectivity can lead to disagreements and challenges in reaching consensus on ethical standards.

Balancing competing interests: The principle of universalizability may not offer specific guidance on how to balance competing interests. In decision-making, leaders often need to weigh different stakeholder needs, prioritize conflicting values, and make trade-offs. The principle alone may not provide a definitive method for resolving such conflicts, requiring leaders to exercise judgment and consider additional ethical frameworks.

Limited foresight and unpredictability: Predicting the long-term consequences of decisions can be challenging, as the future is inherently uncertain. Leaders may not have complete information or accurate predictions about the impact of their decisions on various stakeholders and society. This limitation can make it difficult to fully consider the universalizability of principles when the long-term outcomes are uncertain.

Cultural and contextual considerations: The principle of universalizability may not account for cultural and contextual differences. Ethical values and norms can vary across cultures and societies, and what may be considered universally acceptable in one context may not be in another. Leaders must be sensitive to these cultural variations and adapt the application of universalizability accordingly.

Time and resource constraints: Applying the principle of universalizability requires time, resources, and information to thoroughly evaluate the potential consequences

and universalizability of decisions. In practice, leaders may face constraints that limit their ability to conduct comprehensive assessments, leading to simplified or expedited decision-making processes.

Personal biases and limitations: Leaders, like any individuals, can be susceptible to personal biases and limitations in their decision-making. Biases, such as confirmation bias or implicit biases, can influence the application of universalizability and lead to inconsistent or unfair decisions. Leaders must be aware of their biases and actively work to mitigate their impact.

Despite these challenges and limitations, the principle of universalizability remains a valuable tool for ethical decision-making in leadership. It provides a framework for considering consistency, fairness, and the broader impact of decisions. However, leaders should be mindful of these limitations and complement the principle with other ethical perspectives, critical thinking, and a deep understanding of the specific context in which they are making decisions.

Handling uncertainties and unforeseen consequences

Handling uncertainties and unforeseen consequences is an important aspect of leadership decision-making. Here are some strategies to navigate these challenges effectively:

Embrace a mindset of adaptability: Recognize that uncertainties and unforeseen consequences are inherent in complex decision-making processes. Embrace a mindset that is open to adaptation and flexibility. Be prepared to adjust course as new information emerges and circumstances change. This requires a willingness to learn from mistakes, reassess assumptions, and make necessary adjustments to mitigate risks and address unforeseen consequences.

Conduct thorough scenario planning: Anticipate different possible scenarios and outcomes that may arise from your decisions. Engage in thorough scenario planning by considering various potential future developments, risks, and uncertainties. This helps you identify potential challenges and develop contingency plans to address them. Scenario planning encourages proactive thinking and enables you to be better prepared to handle unforeseen consequences.

Seek diverse perspectives and expertise: Involve a diverse group of stakeholders, experts, and advisors in the decision-making process. Different perspectives can help identify potential blind spots, challenge assumptions, and offer valuable insights. By engaging with individuals who have varied experiences and expertise, you can enhance your understanding of potential uncertainties and mitigate risks associated with unforeseen consequences.

Conduct rigorous risk assessments: Prioritize rigorous risk assessments as part of your decision-making process. Identify and evaluate potential risks and uncertainties associated with each option or course of action. This involves conducting thorough research, gathering data, and considering expert opinions. By understanding the risks involved, you can develop strategies to mitigate and manage them effectively.

Maintain agility and responsiveness: Stay vigilant and responsive to emerging information and changing circumstances. Continuously monitor the outcomes and impact of your decisions. Be prepared to adapt and make course corrections if unforeseen consequences arise. Regularly reassess the situation, gather feedback, and adjust your approach accordingly to minimize negative consequences and capitalize on new opportunities.

Foster a learning culture: Cultivate a culture of continuous learning and improvement within your organization. Encourage open communication, feedback loops, and a willingness to learn from both successes and failures. Create mechanisms for capturing and sharing lessons learned from unforeseen consequences, ensuring that the organization can adapt and improve its decision-making processes over time.

Practice ethical foresight: Consider the potential ethical implications and long-term consequences of your decisions. Reflect on the broader societal and environmental impact. While it may not be possible to predict all future outcomes, ethical foresight helps you proactively consider and address potential risks and unintended consequences before they arise.

Remember that handling uncertainties and unforeseen consequences requires a combination of proactive planning, adaptability, and a commitment to learning and improvement. By integrating these strategies into your decision-making process, you can navigate uncertainties more effectively and respond to unforeseen consequences in a responsible and agile manner.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the principle of universalizability provides a valuable framework for ethical decision-making in leadership. By considering whether the underlying principles of their decisions can be universally applied without contradiction or harm, leaders can promote fairness, consistency, and ethical conduct. However, it is important to recognize the challenges and limitations associated with its application.

Leaders must navigate complex ethical dilemmas, balance competing interests, and account for cultural and contextual considerations. They should also be aware of personal biases and limitations that can influence decision-making. Furthermore, uncertainties and unforeseen consequences are inevitable in decision-making

processes, requiring leaders to embrace adaptability, conduct thorough scenario planning, seek diverse perspectives, and maintain agility.

Handling uncertainties and unforeseen consequences effectively involves rigorous risk assessment, maintaining a learning culture, and practicing ethical foresight. By integrating these strategies, leaders can make informed decisions, mitigate risks, and respond to unforeseen consequences responsibly and proactively.

Ultimately, ethical leadership decision-making goes beyond the application of a single principle. It requires a combination of ethical frameworks, critical thinking, stakeholder engagement, and an understanding of the broader societal and environmental impact. By approaching decision-making with a commitment to ethical values and a holistic perspective, leaders can make decisions that promote the well-being of their organizations, stakeholders, and society as a whole.

References

1. Udofia, C. A. Are Leaders Born or Made? A Disquisition on The Great Person and Christological Paradigms of Leadership.
2. Adedokun, D., & Favour, L. (2023). Deciphering the Essence of Good Leadership: Unraveling the Mysteries of WHOISM.
3. Oyindamola, O., & Adedokun, D. (2023). Harmonizing Peace and Conflict Resolution: A Functional Approach, Unpacking Leadership Philosophies: Insight and Decision Theories.
4. Udofia, C. A. (2023). LEADERSHIP WHOISM: AN INSIGHT INTO THE LOGIC OF GOOD LEADERSHIP. *American Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*, 13, 23-33.
5. Godwin, G. O., & Joseph, S. Exploring the Effect of Harmful Administration in Instructive Settings, Perceiving, tending to, and Cultivating Positive Change.
6. Daniel, D. O., & Joseph, S. Navigating the Impact of Toxic Leadership in Educational Settings, Recognizing, Addressing, and Fostering Positive Change.
7. Udofia, C. A. (2019). Leadership Cynosurism and Kant's Imperative. *International ournal of Politics and Good Governance*, 10(10), 31-38.
8. Favour, Laniyan, and Daniel Adedokun. "Challenges to Ontological Dignity: Exploring Disrespect in a Value-Free Society-A Contemporary Analysis of the Nigerian Context." (2023).

9. Frank, E. (2024). *Leadership Qualities Required for Successful National Development* (No. 13497). EasyChair.
10. Stamp, G., Burridge, B., & Thomas, P. (2007). Strategic leadership: An exchange of letters. *Leadership*, 3(4), 479-496.
11. Godwin, G. O., & Daniel, D. O. Dealing with The Impact of Toxic Leaders In Instructive Settings, Approaches For Building Strength And Working With Positive Change.
12. Udofia, C. A. (2020). Leadership and National Development. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Science*, 4, 69-81.